

## When Agencies Collide: A Discussion of How Coordination Between the FDA and USPTO Could Impact Patent Rights and Policy

May 10, 2023



### **Your Presenters**

Arpita Bhattacharyya, Ph.D., Partner Finnegan Susan Evans, Ph.D., Vice President Intellectual Property **Nektar Therapeutics** Mark Kafka, Vice President, Intellectual Property & Transactions Denali Therapeutics **Timothy Marquart**, Senior Associate General Counsel Intellectual Property Gilead Sciences Jeffrey Smyth, Partner Finnegan

FINNEGAN

JULY 09, 2021

# Executive Order on Promoting Competition in the American Economy

"Americans are paying too much for prescription drugs and healthcare services — far more than the prices paid in other countries. Hospital consolidation has left many areas, particularly rural communities, with inadequate or more expensive healthcare options. And too often, patent and other laws have been misused to inhibit or delay — for years and even decades — competition from generic drugs and biosimilars, denying Americans access to lower-cost drugs."

https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/07/09/executive-order-on-promoting-competition-in-the-american-economy/



September 10, 2021

Mr. Andrew Hirshfeld
Performing the Functions and Duties of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual
Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office
United States Patent and Trademark Office

600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Dear Mr. Hirshfeld,

I write pursuant to Executive Order 14036, and in the hope of further developing the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) engagement with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

"To help ensure that the patent system, while incentivizing innovation, does not also unjustifiably delay generic drug and bosimilar competition beyond that reasonably contemplated by applicable law," section S(p(v)) of this Executive Order instructs the Secretary of Health and Human Services, through the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, to write a letter to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the USPTO "enumerating and describing any relevant concerns of the FDA."

Bringing more drug competition to the market and addressing the high cost of medicines by improving access to affordable medicine is a top priority of the Administration, the Department of Health and Human Services, and FDA. FDA does not have a direct role in how drugs are priced; however, we play an indirect role in holding down prices by bringing efficiencies to the drug development and review process and by promoting robust competition for established drugs, both of which are of great importance to the Agency. Congress took care to promote innovation and access when it created the framework for generic drug development more than three decades ago in the Hatch-Waxman Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), and when it established a pathway for biosimilar and interchangeable biological products 25 years later, in the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 (BPCI Act). We are committed to incentivizing innovation and fostering competition by approving high-quality, affordable, safe, and effective therapies including generic drugs and biosimilar and interchangeable biological products. We also are committed to identifying abuses of the system that can impede competition and will continue to take steps to address them

The Agency recognizes that patents are critical to fostering innovation, including innovation in the pharmaceutical industry. Nonetheless, the impact of certain pharmaceutical company patenting practices on the pharmaceutical marketplace has attracted attention within the debate over drug pricing. As described below, FDA is actively evaluating the impact of pharmaceutical

U.S. Food & Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993 w.ww.fda.gov "The Agency recognizes that patents are critical to fostering innovation, including innovation in the pharmaceutical industry. Nonetheless, the impact of certain pharmaceutical company patenting practices on the pharmaceutical marketplace has attracted attention within the debate over drug pricing.

• • •

FDA is actively evaluating the impact of pharmaceutical patents in certain areas relevant to FDA regulation of drug products, with a focus on facilitating timely access to drug products approved under our abbreviated pathways."





September 10, 2021

Mr. Andrew Hirshfeld
Performing the Functions and Duties of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual
Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office
United States Patent and Trademark Office
600 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dear Mr. Hirshfeld,

I write pursuant to Executive Order 14036, and in the hope of further developing the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) engagement with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

"To help ensure that the patent system, while incentivizing innovation, does not also unjustifiably delay generic drug and boismilar competition beyond that reasonably contemplated by applicable law," section S(p(v) of this Executive Order instructs the Secretary of Health and Human Services, through the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, to write a letter to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the USPTO "enumerating and describing any relevant concerns of the FDA."

Bringing more drug competition to the market and addressing the high cost of medicines by improving access to affordable medicine is a top priority of the Administration, the Department of Health and Human Services, and FDA. FDA does not have a direct role in how drugs are priced; however, we play an indirect role in holding down prices by bringing efficiencies to the drug development and review process and by promoting robust competition for established drugs, both of which are of great importance to the Agency. Congress took care to promote innovation and access when it created the framework for generic drug development more than three decades ago in the Hatch-Waxman Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), and when it established a pathway for biosimilar and interchangeable biological products 25 years later, in the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 (BBCI Act). We are committed to incentivizing innovation and fostering competition by approving high-quality, affordable, safe, and effective therapies including generic drugs and biosimilar and interchangeable biological products. We also are committed to identifying abuses of the system that can impede competition and will continue to take steps to address them consistent with our authorities.

The Agency recognizes that patents are critical to fostering innovation, including innovation in the pharmaceutical industry. Nonetheless, the impact of certain pharmaceutical company patenting practices on the pharmaceutical marketplace has attracted attention within the debate over drug pricing. As described below, FDA is actively evaluating the impact of pharmaceutical

U.S. Food & Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993 www.fda.gov "We invite USPTO to collaboratively engage with us in these efforts and in any complementary activities under your purview that can advance competition and access in the marketplace."





### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATIENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

July 6, 2022

Robert M. Califf, M.D. Commissioner of Food and Drugs U.S. Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993

Dear Dr. Califf

I am following up on the letter your colleague Dr. Janet Woodcock sent pursuant to President Biden's Executive Order on "Promoting Competition in the American Economy." As you know, in that Executive Order, President Biden expressed concern that "too often, patent and other laws have been misused to inhibit or delay—for years and even decades—competition from generic drugs and biosimilars, denying Americans access to lower-cost drugs." The order also provides, in relevant part, that the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall:

to help ensure that the patent system, while incentivizing innovation, does not also unjustifiably delay generic drug and biosimilar competition beyond that reasonably contemplated by applicable law, not later than 45 days after the date of this order, through the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, write a letter to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office enumerating and describing any relevant concerns of the FDA.

I share the Administration's mission with regard to drug accessibility. Specifically, our laws, including our parent laws, were created to work for our country and for the benefit of our people. The patent system was developed to promote economic growth and a higher standard of living for all. The United States is a global beade in new drug development due to its strong patent system and the ecosystem envisioned by Congress with the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act [Hatch-Waxman Act) of 1984, and more recently the Biologies Price Competition and Innovation Act [BPCLA]. Though patents play a critical role in incentrivizing and protecting the investment essential for bringing life-asving and life-altering drugs to market, we must make sure our system as a whole does not unnecessarily delay getting generact, biosimilar, and more affordable versions of those drugs into the hands of Americans who need them.

I could not be more excited to work with you on this endeavor.

"Though patents play a critical role in incentivizing and protecting the investment essential for brining life-saving and life-altering drugs to market, we must make sure our system as a whole does not unnecessarily delay getting generic, biosimilar, and more affordable versions of those drugs into the hands of Americans who need them."

"I'm attaching below the USPTO's current thoughts on what we can do as an agency, and in collaboration with the FDA, to make real progress."



### **USPTO Initiatives Resulting From Collaboration**



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

July 6, 2022

Robert M. Califf, M.D. Commissioner of Food and Drugs U.S. Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993

Dear Dr. Califf

I am following up on the letter your colleague Dr. Janet Woodcock sent pursuant to President Biden's Executive Order on "Promoting Competition in the American Economy." As you know, in that Executive Order, President Biden expressed concern that "too often, patent and other laws have been misused to inhibit or delay—for years and even decades—competition from generic drugs and biosimilars, denying Americans access to lower-cost drugs." The order also provides, in relevant part, that the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall:

to help ensure that the patent system, while incentivizing innovation, does not also unjustifiably delay generic drug and biosimilar competition beyond that reasonably contemplated by applicable law, not later than 45 days after the date of this order, through the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, write a letter to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office enumerating and describing any relevant concerns of the FDA.

I share the Administration's mission with regard to drug accessibility. Specifically, our laws, including our parent laws, were created to work for our country and for the benefit of our people. The patent system was developed to promote economic growth and a higher standard of living for all. The United States is a global leader in new drug development due to its strong patent system and the ecosystem envisioned by Congress with the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act (Hatch-Waxman Act) of 1984, and more recently the Biologies Price Competition and Innovation Act (BEPCIA). Though patents play a critical role in incentrivizing and protecting the investment essential for bringing life-asving and life-altering drugs to market, we must make sure our system as whole does not unnecessarily delay getting generact, biosimilar, and more affordable versions of those drugs into the hands of Americans who need them.

I could not be more excited to work with you on this endeavor.

### **USPTO Initiatives Outlined**

- Enhancing collaboration with other agencies, such as the FDA, on key technology areas, including pharmaceuticals and biologics
- Improving procedures for obtaining a patent to ensure that the USPTO issues robust and reliable patents
- Improving the process for challenging issued patents before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (America Invents Act proceedings)
- 4. Improving public participation in the patent system
- 5. Considering new proposals for incentivizing and protecting innovation while minimizing unnecessary delays in getting more affordable drugs to market



### **USPTO Initiatives Resulting From Collaboration**

Federal Register/Vol. 87, No. 191/Tuesday, October 4, 2022/Notices

The Charter Halibut Man Committee will meet to make

Noted September 29, 2022.

restriction practice, and ceraam initiatives related to these topics that are outlined in the USFTO's July 6, 2022, letter to the Food and Drug Administration [FDA]. This RFC also seeks comments on the questions set forth in a June 8, 2022, letter to the

### I. Background and the USPTO's July 6, 2022, Letter to the FDA

### Request for Comments on USPTO Initiatives To Ensure the Robustness and Reliability of Patent Rights

- Covered four specific topics:
  - (1) Prior Art Searching
  - (2) Support for Patent Claims
  - (3) RCE Practice
  - (4) Restriction, Divisional, Rejoinder, and Non-Statutory **Double Patenting Practice**
- Included 11 questions for public comment concerning various potential changes to USPTO practice
- USPTO also conducted a public listening session in January

https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/rfc robust and reliable patents.pdf https://www.uspto.gov/about-us/events/joint-uspto-fda-public-listening-session-collaboration-initiatives

### **Audience Poll**

Which of the potential changes resulting from the FDA-USPTO collaboration will have the largest impact:

- 1. Increased information exchange between the two agencies implicating the duties of disclosure and reasonable inquiry
- 2. New requirements for RCE practice, including new processes triggered once numbers of RCEs reaches certain threshold
- 3. New requirements for continuation practice, including heightened examination requirements
- 4. Modifications to non-statutory obviousness type double patenting practice that limit the use of terminal disclaimers
- 5. Not sure/None of these will have a significant impact



## **Audience Poll — Any change?**

Which of the potential changes resulting from the FDA-USPTO collaboration will have the largest impact:

- 1. Increased information exchange between the two agencies implicating the duties of disclosure and reasonable inquiry
- 2. New requirements for RCE practice, including new processes triggered once numbers of RCEs reaches certain threshold
- 3. New requirements for continuation practice, including heightened examination requirements
- 4. Modifications to non-statutory obviousness type double patenting practice that limit the use of terminal disclaimers
- 5. Not sure/None of these will have a significant impact

### **Our Disclaimer**

These materials have been prepared solely for educational and informational purposes to contribute to the understanding of U.S. intellectual property law. These materials do not constitute legal advice and are not intended to suggest or establish any form of attorney-client relationship with the authors or Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP (including Finnegan) Europe LLP, and Fei Han Foreign Legal Affairs Law Firm) ("Finnegan"). Rather, these materials reflect only the personal opinions of the authors, and those views are not necessarily appropriate for every situation they refer to or describe. These materials do not reflect the opinions or views of any of the authors' clients or law firms (including Finnegan) or the opinions or views of any other individual. Specifically, neither Finnegan nor the authors may be bound either philosophically or as representatives of their various present and future clients to the opinions expressed in these materials. While every attempt was made to ensure that these materials are accurate, errors or omissions may be contained therein, for which any liability is disclaimed. All references in this disclaimer to 'authors' refer to Finnegan (including Finnegan personnel) and any other authors, presenters, or law firms contributing to these materials.

FINNEGAN