

CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE

THIS CERTIFIES THAT

HAS ATTENDED

Remote Work in the Age of COVID-19 and Ethical Implications for In-House Counsel

616768

COURSE ID #

SPONSORED BY

Saint Louis University School of Law

AND APPROVED FOR

1.2

1.2

1.2

MCLE HOURS

ETHICS HOURS

ELIMINATION OF BIAS HOURS

(RECORD AND REPORT ONLY YOUR ACTUAL ATTENDANCE)

08/27/2020

CLE PROGRAM

EVALUATION FORM

SLU LAW
SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

PROGRAM TITLE: Remote Work in the Age of COVID-19 and Ethical Implications for In-House Counsel

PROGRAM DATE: August 27, 2020 **1.2 MO CLE Ethics/Elimination of Bias**

DIRECTIONS: On a scale of 1 to 5 (5 being the highest or best and 1 being the lowest or worst), please rate the program and speakers or panels.

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM	(LOWEST / WORST → HIGHEST / BEST)				
Rate how well this course satisfied your personal objectives:	1	2	3	4	5
Rate how well the environment contributed to the learning experience:	1	2	3	4	5
Rate how well the written materials contributed to the learning experience:	1	2	3	4	5
Rate the level of significant intellectual, educational or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5
Rate the overall quality:	1	2	3	4	5
Rate the overall effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Rate the overall usefulness:	1	2	3	4	5

SPEAKERS	(LOWEST / WORST → HIGHEST / BEST)				
NAME OF SPEAKER / PANEL: James Paul					
Overall teaching effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Effectiveness of teaching methods:	1	2	3	4	5
Significant current intellectual or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5
NAME OF SPEAKER / PANEL: Gregg Lemley					
Overall teaching effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Effectiveness of teaching methods:	1	2	3	4	5
Significant current intellectual or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5
NAME OF SPEAKER / PANEL: AJ Chivetta					
Overall teaching effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Effectiveness of teaching methods:	1	2	3	4	5
Significant current intellectual or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5

(Continued on back)

**Please note: the CLE provider must 1) provide a summary of evaluation results to the speakers and 2) retain evaluations for one year.*

05.2014

(Continued from front)

SPEAKERS					
(LOWEST / WORST → HIGHEST / BEST)					
NAME OF SPEAKER / PANEL:					
Overall teaching effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Effectiveness of teaching methods:	1	2	3	4	5
Significant current intellectual or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5
NAME OF SPEAKER / PANEL:					
Overall teaching effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Effectiveness of teaching methods:	1	2	3	4	5
Significant current intellectual or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5
NAME OF SPEAKER / PANEL:					
Overall teaching effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Effectiveness of teaching methods:	1	2	3	4	5
Significant current intellectual or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5
NAME OF SPEAKER / PANEL:					
Overall teaching effectiveness:	1	2	3	4	5
Effectiveness of teaching methods:	1	2	3	4	5
Significant current intellectual or practical content:	1	2	3	4	5

PROGRAM SELECTION					
(LEAST APPLICABLE → MOST APPLICABLE)					
I SELECTED THIS PROGRAM BECAUSE OF:					
The topic	1	2	3	4	5
The speaker	1	2	3	4	5
The low cost	1	2	3	4	5
The CLE credit	1	2	3	4	5
The ethics CLE credit (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5
The facilities / location	1	2	3	4	5
The convenient parking	1	2	3	4	5

COMMENTS					

THANK YOU!

**Please note: the CLE provider must 1) provide a summary of evaluation results to the speakers and 2) retain evaluations for one year.*