

April 6, 2023

FAQ about IP Issues in Commercial Deals

Presenters:

Travis Campbell (Technology Transactions Partner, K&L Gates) Jason Shyung (Managing Counsel, Southwest Airlines)

Roadmap

- Introductions
- Brief Overview of IP Rights
- IP-Related Issues in Common Commercial Deals
 - Ownership
 - Licenses
 - IP Representations and Warranties
 - IP Indemnities and Limitations of Liability
- Questions



Introductions





Brief Overview of IP Rights



Brief Overview of IP Rights

- Four main buckets of IP rights:
 - Copyrights
 - Patents
 - Trademarks
 - Trade Secrets
- Expanded definition:
 - Publicity (people and likeness)
 - Data (data, databases, personal information)

Copyrights

- Works of authorship fixed in a tangible medium of expression.
- For many in-house lawyers, often arises in the context of software and services agreements.
- Key point Copyrights exist automatically. No registration required!

K&L GATES

Patents

- "[A]n exclusive right granted for an invention, which is a *product* or a process that provides, in general, a new way of doing something, or offers a new technical solution to a problem. To get a patent, technical information about the invention must be disclosed to the public in a patent application." (World Intellectual Property Organization.)
- Right to exclude others from making, using, offering to sell, selling, and importing during the term of the patent.

K&L GATES

Trademarks

 "Any word, name, symbol, or device, or any combination thereof [used]... to identify and distinguish...goods...from those manufactured or sold by others and *to indicate the source of the goods*, even if that source is unknown."

15 U.S.C. §1127 (emphasis added)

Trade Secrets

- "[A]II forms and types of financial, business, scientific, technical, economic, or engineering information, . . . whether tangible or intangible, and whether or how stored, compiled, or memorialized physically, electronically, graphically, photographically, or in writing if—
 - the owner thereof has taken reasonable measures to keep such information secret; and
 - the information derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable through proper means by, another person who can obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of the information[.]"

18 U.S.C. § 1839 (emphasis added)

IP-Related Issues in Common Commercial Deals



Common Deals Where IP Issues Abound

- Master Services Agreements
- Software License Agreements
- Hosted (a/k/a cloud-based) Services Agreements
- Collaborative Development Agreements (e.g., joint venture, R&D, sponsored research)
- Manufacturing/Supply Agreements
- Many combinations and variations of the above

Key IP Issues - Ownership

- Context is **everything**. There is no "right" answer.
- Both sides often push to own everything. Consider who makes the most sense as the owner. The other party can usually take a license and be fine.
 - For services agreements, consider whether deliverables are completely or mostly custom, or just off-the-shelf items with immaterial tweaks.
 - For hosted services, consider whether the customer could ever actually reuse the customizations without the underlying platform.
 - If deliverables were customized based on customer's proprietary specs, customer might push to own so competitors don't get access.

Ownership Considerations (continued)

- For software and services agreements, will the vendor's business be unfairly hampered if it can't use the materials again?
- For collaborative development agreements, consider:
 - Who will do the development work as a practical matter?
 - Who should manage and pay for IP prosecution and maintenance?
 - Should a JV entity be formed to own and maintain the IP?
 - Does the expected IP fall more neatly into one party's industry?
 - Which party is in the best position to make use of the developed IP?
 - Will the fruits of commercialization be shared?

Licenses: Scope Depends on Type of IP

Copyright

- Reproduce
- Distribute
- Prepare derivative works
- Publicly display
- Publicly perform

NOTE: No statutory basis for "*use*" or "*modify*"

Trademark

- Types of goods and services
- Nature of use/quality control

Patent

- Make
- Have made
- Use
- Sell
- Import

Trade Secret

- Use for a particular purpose
- Protection of secret

Often Overlooked Issues

- Customer may need a non-exclusive, perpetual, irrevocable license to any of vendor's Background IP embodied or included in deliverables.
- Vendor should be obligated to secure the necessary rights from its personnel to effect the assignments/licenses in the contract.
- For copyrighted works, absent an employment relationship or a contract, ownership resides in the author of the work.
- Joint ownership of a patent sounds like a good solution but often leads to major issues.

Copyright Work Made for Hire

- A "work made for hire" is—
 - (a) a work prepared by an <u>employee within the scope of his or</u> <u>her employment</u>; or
 - (b) a work specially ordered or commissioned for use: (1) as a contribution to a collective work, (2) as a part of a motion picture or other audiovisual work, (3) as a translation, (4) as a supplementary work, (5) as a compilation, (6) as an instructional text, (7) as a test, (8) as answer material for a test, or (9) as an atlas, <u>if the parties expressly agree</u> in a written instrument signed by them that the work shall be considered a work made for hire.

(17 U.S.C. § 101)

Securing Ownership

- Customers should use a multi-pronged approach to ensure ownership properly transfers.
 - "If and to the extent any Work Product does not constitute a work made for hire under applicable law, [Vendor] hereby assigns to [Customer], as of the date of creation or development, [Vendor's] entire right, title, and interest in and to all such Work Product."
 - Require Vendor to have in place written agreements with its personnel ensuring that the IP grants flow down.
 - Require vendor to promptly report developed IP to Customer.

Securing Ownership (continued)

- Customers should use a multi-pronged approach to ensure ownership properly transfers.
 - Prohibit Vendor from using third-party IP or its own background IP without customer's consent.
 - Require Vendor (and its personnel) to execute additional documents to effect transfers and/or confirm Customer's rights.
 - Give Customer the right to execute documents in the event Vendor (or any personnel) refuses or cannot be found.

Representations and Warranties

- Vendor has all rights necessary to grant the rights to customer.
- Originality / Non-infringement
 - Developed IP and/or Background IP
 - Should cover customer's use, not just the IP itself
- No "copyleft" open source software code
- No malicious code

IP Indemnities

- Should cover customer's use, not just the IP itself.
- Vendors may limit scope of coverage by territory, commercial field, IP type, and others.
- Common exceptions to indemnity coverage if the claim arises [solely] out of :
 - modifications of deliverables done by [or on behalf of] the customer
 - use of deliverables with other products or services [not contemplated by the agreement or described in the contract or product documentation]
 - continued use after being told of the claim [and being provided a new version that avoids infringement without material impact]
 - customizations based on customer's specs

Common Remedies for IP Claims

- If an IP Claim arises (or the vendor thinks one will), Vendor can [or must]:
 - Modify the deliverable to avoid the infringement [without materially degrading customer's experience]
 - Replace with a non-infringing alternative [without materially degrading customer's experience]
 - Purchase rights for the customer to keep using the materials [on commercially reasonable terms]
 - Remove the infringing portion and provide a [pro-rata] refund
- Vendors often push for these to be customers' sole remedies for IP claims. Customers typically push back.

IP Indemnities and Limitations of Liability

- IP infringement claims are frequently carved out from damages caps. If not completely unlimited, will usually have a super cap.
- As with other indemnities, exclusions of consequential and indirect damages often do not apply to IP infringement claims.
- Many levers to be pulled to reach a compromise (e.g., limit coverage to patent and copyright claims, limit to certain territories).



Questions?





Travis Campbell K&L Gates LLP 2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 650 Austin, TX 78746 Direct: 512.482.6813 Email: travis.campbell@klgates.com www.klgates.com K&L GATES