

### **ACC NCR FALL CONFERENCE**

**Advanced Topics for In-House Attorneys** 

# Cybersecurity and Insurance Update – Details About Current Cyber Threats and Buying and Using Cyberinsurance



Cybersecurity and
Insurance Update – Details
About Current Cyber
Threats and Buying and
Using Cyberinsurance

Association of Corporate Counsel – National Capital Region



September 14, 2022







### **Scott Godes**

### Partner, Barnes & Thornburg

Washington, D.C.

✓ sgodes@btlaw.com

**202-408-6928** 

Co-chair of the firm's Insurance Recovery and Counseling and Data Security and Privacy Groups, Scott Godes is a skilled advocate, litigator and trial lawyer in matters involving insurance coverage and technology.

Scott is dedicated to helping his clients recover their insurance benefits. By taking on matters that are often difficult, complicated and untested – including two of the first cyberinsurance coverage cases in the country – Scott works to design a creative, optimized path to problem solving that enhances their chances of recovery. Effective, thorough and practical, Scott is valued by clients and colleagues alike for his depth and breadth of knowledge and experience in insurance law, and for finding the right solution to each client's distinct problem. He has helped insureds recover well in excess of \$1 billion.





### Susanna McDonald

Vice President & Chief Legal Officer Association of Corporate Counsel

Susanna McDonald is vice president & chief legal officer of the Association of Corporate Counsel (ACC) in Washington, DC. ACC is the world's largest legal association dedicated exclusively to serving the interests of in-house counsel. With an behalf of the in-house bar, as well as legal resource development for members in 85 countries.

McDonald leads the ACC legal department responsible for all Office of the General Counsel (OGC) duties, including employment, compliance, and commercial matters. She also oversees the association's advocacy efforts speaking out on behalf of the in-house bar, as well as legal resource development for members in 85 countries. McDonald also leads all of ACC's IT infrastructure and web development projects.



- Panel discussion for part one
- Rapid Fire Round on Cyberinsurance 101
- Interview on Best Practices For Getting Coverage



- Introduction
- Current Threat Landscape
- Insurance Coverage For Current Threats
- How Has The Insurance
   Landscape Changed in 2022

## Part 1, Panel Discussion: Current Threat Landscape

- Ransomware
- Cyber Extortion
- Business Email Compromise

## Part 1, Panel Discussion: Ransomware – What's Changing?

Did you know?

The first use of ransomware dates back to 1989, when floppy disks were high-tech and the price of the ransom was a mere \$189.

What is the cost of ransomware today?

In 2021, the largest ransomware payout was made by an insurance company for \$40 million, setting a world record.

## Part 1, Panel Discussion: Ransomware – What's Changing?

- Amounts of demands
- Second bite of the apple by threat actors
- Second attacks
- OFAC questions
- State law changes



### Part 1, Panel Discussion: OFAC Guidance

- OFAC Overview
- Recent guidance: <a href="https://bit.ly/39lkwAx">https://bit.ly/39lkwAx</a>
- Potential liabilities for OFAC violations



- Did you know?
  - The FBI reports \$43 Billion (with a "B") lost through business email compromises (as of May 2022)
- What typically happens?
- Parties potentially involved:
  - Defrauded payor
  - Defrauded payee
  - Bank(s)?
  - Insurance companies

- What typically happens?
- Parties potentially involved:
  - Defrauded payor
  - Defrauded payee
  - Bank(s)?
  - Insurance companies

- Causes of action?
  - Breach of contract?
  - Negligence?
  - Imposter rule?
  - Mutual mistake?
  - UCC?

- Beau Townsend Ford Lincoln, Inc. v. Don Hinds Ford, Inc., 759 F. App'x 348, 349 (6th Cir. 2018).
  - "Don Hinds Ford agreed to purchase twenty Ford Explorers from Beau Townsend Ford for about \$736,225. When it came time to close the deal, Beau Townsend's commercial sales manager asked, via email, that Don Hinds pay via wire transfer to an out-of-state bank. Don Hinds agreed, wired the money, and picked up the Explorers.
  - Just one problem—a hacker had infiltrated the email account of the Beau Townsend manager and sent Don Hinds fraudulent wiring instructions. Although Don Hinds thought it had paid Beau Townsend for the Explorers, it had actually wired the \$736,225 to the hacker, who quickly drained the bank account and made off with the money. This case is about who must bear that loss."

■ Peeples v. Carolina Container, LLC and William Ponder, 19-cv-21, 2021 WL 4224009

(N.D. Ga. Sept. 16, 2021) (Delaware law).

"This case arises from a botched wire transfer. Defendant Carolina Container, LLC was supposed to wire \$1.71 million to Plaintiff Lloyd C. Peeples, III under an asset purchase agreement. But it ended up wiring that money to a crook who hacked into the email account of Plaintiff's attorney From: william ponder <b\_ponder@hotmail.com> on behalf of william ponder

**Sent:** Monday, July 2, 2018 12:47 PM

To: Lehrer, Andrew
Cc: Jim Cobery

Subject: Fw: Payout of Escrow (Bank details)
Attachments: Lloydpeebles (JAE Holdings).pdf

Andrew,

See attached wiring instruction for \$1,735,502.50, Please confirm once received and let me know when the wire will be done. Once again sorry for any inconveniences and thanks for your understanding. Will be looking forward to your email asap.

Thanks, Bill

(Defendant William Ponder) and used that account to send fraudulent payment instructions to Defendant Carolina. When the crook vanished with the money, Plaintiff sued Defendants to recover. Each party now moves for summary judgment. (Dkts. 149; 150; 152.) The Court grants Plaintiff's motion in part and denies Defendants' motions."

## Part 1, Panel Discussion: Insurance Coverage for Current Threats

- Potential Sources for Coverage
  - Cyberinsurance
  - Kidnap, ransom, and extortion
  - Crime insurance
  - Property insurance
  - Liability policies (CGL, E&O, D&O)
  - Other policies



### Part 1, Panel Discussion: Insurance Coverage for Current Threats

### Coverage Decisions

#### BECs

- City of Unalaska v. Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co., 2022 WL 826501 (D. Alaska Mar. 18, 2022) (full coverage under computer fraud provision vs. limited social engineering fraud coverage)
- Principle Sols. Grp., LLC v. Ironshore Indem. Co., 944 F.3d 886 (11th Cir. 2019) (funds transfer fraud coverage)
- Am. Tooling Ctr., Inc. v. Travelers Cas. & Sur. Co., 895 F.3d 455 (6th Cir. 2018) (computer fraud coverage)

#### Ransomware

- *G&G Oil Co. of Ind. v. Cont'l W. Ins. Co.*, 165 N.E.3d 82 (Ind. 2021) (crime coverage)
- Nat'l Ink & Stitch, LLC v. State Auto Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co., 435 F. Supp. 3d 679 (D. Md. 2020) (property coverage)
- Merck & Co., Inc. v. Ace Am. Ins. Co., No. UNN-L-2682-18, slip op. (N.J. Super. Ct. Jan. 13, 2022) (property coverage and war exclusion)

## Part 1, Panel Discussion: How Has The Insurance Landscape Changed In 2022? (Per Carriers And Their Friends)

- Prices have gone way up
- Offered limits might be lower
- More claims and more expensive claims?
- But is the sky really falling? Fitch Ratings reports:
  - "For standalone cyber coverage, direct incurred losses and defense and cost containment (DCC) expenses expanded by over 300% since 2018. Still, in 2021 earned premium growth exceeded the change in incurred losses and the standalone cyber loss ratio improved to 65% from 72% a year earlier."
    - Fitch Ratings, US Cyber Insurance See Rapid Premium Growth, Declining Loss Ratios (Apr. 13, 2022), available at https://bit.ly/3SSjmqr.

# Part 1, Panel Discussion: How Has The Insurance Landscape Changed In 2022? (What Insureds Are Seeing)

- More aggressive claim adjustment
- Common use of outside coverage counsel to adjust and investigate claims
- Litigation
  - Travelers Property Casualty Co. of America v. International Control Services Inc., No. 22-cv-2145 (C.D. III. July 6, 2022) (rescission question)

## Part 1, Panel Discussion: How Has The Insurance Landscape Changed In 2022? (Changes In Policy Offerings)

- New ransomware-based exclusions and limitations
- Social engineering fraud endorsements
- Lost revenues due to reputational harm
- "Bricking coverage"
- Coverage for PCI-based liabilities

- Cloud-based triggers
- Business interruption
- System failure triggers
- Waiting period
- Contingent business interruption
- Retroactive dates and full prior act coverage

## Part 2: Rapid Fire Round on Cyber Insurance 101

- Which department typically "owns" a cyber policy? •
- Translate insurance speak to English:
  - "Breach response coverage"
  - "First party coverage"
  - "Third party coverage"
  - Sublimits
  - Computer fraud, social engineering fraud, and invoice manipulation fraud
- Can we negotiate a policy like a commercial contract?

- Can we use the law firms and vendors of our choice?
- When a law firm acts as a claim adjuster for the carrier, are they acting neutrally?
- What does a reservation of rights letter mean?
- Is my broker acting in my best interest?
- Is everything discussed with my carrier privileged?
- Is everything discussed with my broker privileged?

## Part 3: Best Practices For Getting Coverage (When Buying)

- How does that proposed coverage compare to last year's coverage, including limits, retentions, terms, exclusions, and sublimits?
- 2. Should you (can you) expand the coverages that you are buying, either terms, limits, or both?
- 3. Are all the representations in the application accurate and verified to be true? And verified by whom? Who reviewed and verified the answers?
- 4. Who has reviewed the actual policy terms?
  - a. Primary layer
  - b. Excess layers

## Part 3: Best Practices For Getting Coverage (Traps During The Claim Process)

#### 1. Ransomware

- a. OFAC questions
- 2. Exclusions for failure to maintain security (e.g., MFA) or rescission threats
- 3. Selection of counsel and vendors
  - a. Required use of panel counsel, vendors, ransom negotiator, other crisis managers?
  - b. Rate issues?
- 4. Consent to settle, cooperation, and form of settlement
  - Settlement discussions
  - b. Customer resolutions
  - c. Form of compensation

## Part 3: Best Practices For Getting Coverage (Traps During The Claim Process)

#### 5. Retroactive date

- a. Full prior acts coverage?
- b. Same retroactive date throughout the tower?

### 6. Business email compromise / social engineering / wire fraud losses

- a. Overlapping coverages?
- b. Sublimits?
- c. Causes of action?
- d. Actual demands? And actual liability?

### 7. Fines and penalties – GDPR, CaCPA?

a. What law governs?

## Part 3: Best Practices For Getting Coverage (Traps During The Claim Process)

### 8. "Other Insurance" and order of payment

- a. Overlapping coverages
- b. Vendor / additional insured coverages

### 9. Excess issues

- a. Will excess coverage drop down if there are sublimits?
- b. Will excess coverage apply if there is a settlement of a layer for less than full limits?
- c. What does "following form" mean?

### 10. Notice

### 11. Retentions and deductibles

## Part 3: Best Practices For Getting Coverage (Final Takeaways)

### 1. Establish effective lines of communication from minute 1

- Notice, notice! (Cyberinsurance and more)
- Look for coordination between legal and risk management
- Consider whether a third party should indemnify your company
- Consider whether you are going to use vendors and counsel that are approved by the carrier

## Part 3: Best Practices For Getting Coverage (Final Takeaways)

- 2. Be cognizant of a duty to cooperate, a tri-partite privilege, insurance company buy-in, and a consent to settle
- 3. Pay close attention to carrier position letters and consider carefully your next steps
  - What does the carrier say might not be covered?
  - Why is the carrier asking for the information it is seeking?
  - Who is the carrier using to investigate the matter? And why?
  - Who on the team is working on response letters?
  - Do your team members have the experience to respond to the issues best?

### **THANK YOU**

Cybersecurity and Insurance Update – Details About Current Cyber Threats and Buying and Using Cyberinsurance

**September 14, 2022** 

