

DSHEA 2.0 Mandatory Product Listing and Other Potential Changes Under Discussion

Riëtte van Laack
rvanlaack@hpm.com
www.FDAlawblog.net

Outline

- ▶ 25 Years DSHEA; where it all began
- ▶ Dietary Supplement Innovation Initiative
 - ▶ FDA's plans and actions
- ▶ DSHEA 2.0
 - ▶ FDA
 - ▶ Industry
 - ▶ Regulation-Minded Consumer Groups

25 Years DSHEA

A Success Story?

DSHEA Revolutionized the Supplement Industry

- ▶ For over three decades FDA had been limiting the marketing of supplements, including:
 - ▶ In 1962, attempts to set minimum/maximum levels for supplements
 - ▶ 1966-1973: efforts to classify vitamins with more than 150% of RDI as drugs - Proxmire Amendments
 - ▶ 1993: attempts to ban black currant oil through a food additive theory.
- ▶ DSHEA: Purpose was assuring widespread consumer access to natural health products and protection of public safety from charlatans, deliberate adulterations, and reckless introduction of unsafe products.
- ▶ In 1994, the industry was worth \$4 billion. In 2019, it was estimated to be worth over \$40 billion; more than 75% of consumers use dietary supplements, more than 9000 DS facilities.

Developments since 1994

- ▶ FALCPA
- ▶ (Serious) adverse event reporting for dietary supplements
- ▶ Mandatory recall authority for foods
- ▶ Food Safety Modernization Act (FSVP, intentional adulteration, etc.)
- ▶ Amendment of section 413
- ▶ The law has evolved and shown to provide flexibility to address issues that arise.

FDA Concerns

- ▶ Industry large and diverse (is that a problem?)
- ▶ Spiked Supplements
- ▶ Lack of New Dietary Ingredient Notifications (NDINs)
- ▶ Continuing issues with compliance with 21 C.F.R. Part 111
- ▶ These concerns (if valid) can be addressed without statutory amendments

Dietary Supplement Innovation Initiative

The right side of the slide features a decorative graphic composed of several overlapping, semi-transparent green triangles and polygons. The colors range from a light, pale green to a dark, forest green. The shapes are arranged in a way that creates a sense of depth and movement, with some shapes appearing to be layered in front of others. The overall effect is a modern, abstract design that complements the green text on the left.

FDA's Plans for Modernization

- ▶ In February 2019, then Commissioner Gottlieb issued a statement laying out FDA's thoughts/plans to modernize regulation of dietary supplements.
- ▶ FDA priorities:
 - ▶ Ensure safety; protect consumers from harmful products
 - ▶ Maintain product integrity: ensure that dietary supplements contain the ingredients that they are labeled to contain, and nothing else, and that those products are consistently manufactured according to quality standards
 - ▶ Informed decision-making; foster an environment where consumers and health care professionals are able to make informed decisions before recommending, purchasing or using dietary supplements

FDA Initiatives to Improve Oversight

- ▶ Rapid response tool: Dr. Gottlieb mentioned that the Agency has developed a rapid-response tool to alert the public when a supplement contains an illegal ingredient or poses a health risk but did not provide further details.
- ▶ Update of FDA's policies regarding New Dietary Ingredient Notifications (NDINs): FDA believes the number of NDINs submitted is too low. Even if only 10% of the supplements on the market contain NDIs, the number of NDINs should be 4 to 5 times as high.
- ▶ Creation of a Botanical Safety Consortium, a public-private partnership with the goal of providing appropriate tools to evaluate the safety of botanical ingredients
- ▶ Enforcement actions against unlawful claims and ingredients and develop new enforcement strategies
- ▶ Modernization of DSHEA...

DSHEA 2.0

FDA

FDA initiatives included proposals that would require amendment of the law.

- ▶ Mandatory Product Registration
- ▶ FDA hinted that exclusivity for New Dietary Ingredients which are subjects of NDINs could function as a reward to companies for submission of an NDIN.

Mandatory Registration; A Fix for What?

- ▶ Transparency: the Agency cannot regulate what it “cannot see”
- ▶ Track dangerous products
- ▶ Identify new dietary ingredients
- ▶ Monitor trends

Current Mandatory Product Listing

- ▶ Listing requirements already exist for medical devices and drugs
- ▶ No review or approval of listings
- ▶ Few enforcement actions re failure to list (except for imports)
- ▶ No evidence that FDA monitors, tracks, etc. listings
- ▶ Small companies need (paid) help with listing
- ▶ The public and foreign entities tend to read an approval in the listing

Burdens of Mandatory Listing for Supplements

- ▶ A given firm may have to list 100s or 1,000s or even more products
- ▶ Routine updating for new products, discontinued products, and label changes; timing of update? (Product no longer manufactured, no longer sold?)
- ▶ Requirement to label products with an FDA-assigned identification number (or other methods to track changes)?
- ▶ Commercial impacts for FDA delays in updating the public-facing database?
- ▶ Availability of information on contract-manufacturing relationships?
- ▶ Potential complexities of coordination across own-label distributors, contract packers, and contract manufacturers

Mandatory Registration; Will it Fix the Problem?

- ▶ What if bad actors do not register? (How will FDA know where to find the bad actors?)
- ▶ What will be the penalty for failure to register?
- ▶ Will labels disclose spiking?
- ▶ Will FDA enforce registration/listing requirement?

FDA's Other Issue; NDINs

- ▶ Companies do not submit NDINs when needed.
- ▶ FDA hints at exclusivity as incentive.

Should There be More NDINs?

- ▶ Two exceptions to NDIN requirement:
 - ▶ ODI (grandfathered ingredients). There is no list of ODIs.
 - ▶ The dietary ingredient is an NDI that has been present in the food supply (no geographic limitation) as an article used for food in a form in which the food has not been chemically altered.
 - ▶ FDA has limited food supply to conventional foods.
 - ▶ But a dietary supplement is a food!
 - ▶ FDA broadly interprets “chemical alteration”
 - ▶ Change in manufacturing causes an ingredient to be an NDI subject to NDIN?
- ▶ In any case, the marketers of a dietary supplement must have a reasonable basis that the product is safe for its intended use.
- ▶ FDA’s interpretation would result in very large number of NDINs. Does this benefit public health?

Incentives for NDIN Submission

- ▶ Are they needed?
- ▶ Should there be exclusivity for a dietary ingredient? (Supplements are foods.)
- ▶ Who would manage exclusivity? Costs?
- ▶ FDA currently has no authority to grant exclusivity.
- ▶ Will the market benefit from exclusivity, or will exclusivity result in disputes about protection of an ingredient? Aren't there other forms of IP protection (patents)?
- ▶ Duration of exclusivity?
- ▶ How will this benefit consumer access?
- ▶ FDA's priority is safety and public health.

DSHEA 2.0: industry wish list?

What Will Industry Get (or Want?) if the law will be amended (in Exchange for Mandatory Listing)?

- ▶ Master files
- ▶ CBD
- ▶ Clarity on meaning for 201(ff)(1)(E): “a dietary substance for use by man to supplement the diet by increasing the total dietary intake”
- ▶ Revision exclusionary clause provisions 201(ff); 301(ll)? How?

DSHEA 2.0

- ▶ Pandora's box; be careful what you ask for.

Wish List Regulation-Minded Consumer Groups

- ▶ Premarket Safety Review: Close the (secret) GRAS loophole
- ▶ Bolster adverse event reporting. Report all AEs
- ▶ Premarket review of known dangerous products
- ▶ Labeling of supplement-drug interactions
- ▶ Mandatory recall authority (already exists)
- ▶ Criminal penalties (already exist)
- ▶ Etc. etc.

DSHEA 2.0

Thoughts

- ▶ FDA must focus on safety.
- ▶ No grand scale overhaul of the law seems required. Industry and consumers will be better served by enforcement of regulations (and law) that do exist.
- ▶ Need more certainty and consistency regarding interpretation; Some “problems” originate from FDA interpretation and inconsistent (or no) enforcement.
- ▶ FDA should enforce the existing law to punish those that do not comply; enforcement against non-compliance rewards those that comply.
- ▶ Misunderstanding about safety of dietary supplements; a solution looking for a problem? There will always be bad actors.
- ▶ Interpret section 201(ff)(1)(E) broadly to stimulate innovation
- ▶ FDA should recognize that dietary supplements are foods. (Therefore, inclusion of a substance into a dietary supplement constitutes presence in the food supply.)
- ▶ FDA needs additional resources.
- ▶ Industry can help by self-regulation, developing certification programs that are consistent with the regulations and with each other. Customers can require certifications re GMP compliance (annual audits); customers can “enforce” NDIN requirement.

Questions

