



Bennett Jones



# The Changing Landscape of Privacy Regulation in Canada

Ruth Promislow,  
Partner, Bennett Jones

Cailey Greenberg,  
Senior Counsel, Global Privacy and Compliance, Canopy Growth

June 2, 2021

---

**ACC Ontario Chapter**  
[www.acc.com](http://www.acc.com)

---

# TODAY'S TOPICS

- Proposed changes in privacy legislation
- Critical issues for corporate counsel to address



Bennett Jones



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION

- Status – draft federal privacy legislation:
  - Introduced November 2020
  - Federal Privacy Commissioner has called the draft “a step back for privacy”
- 3 key aspects of draft legislation
  - Enhanced compliance obligations
  - Penalties and private right of action
  - Privacy Commissioner powers



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Enhanced Compliance Obligations*

### 1. Privacy management program

- Policies, practices and procedures put in place to fulfill obligations

### 2. Spot Check

- Must provide access to privacy management program
- Privacy Commissioner cannot use what he learns to initiate complaint or audit
- *Privacy Commissioner comments:*
  - He wants to be able to initiate complaint or audit without basis for believing there is contravention; 'look under the hood'



Bennett Jones



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Enhanced Compliance Obligations*

### 3. Identify and document purposes

- Limit use to those purposes; penalty for use beyond
- Delete upon request
- *Privacy Commissioner comments:*
  - *specific, explicit and legitimate purposes should be required*
  - *Wants to avoid use of identified purposes such as “improving your customer experience”*

### 4. Appropriate purpose analysis

- Prescribed factors to consider, includes balance between impairment of rights and business needs
- *Privacy Commissioner comments:*
  - *Analysis should go beyond ‘appropriate purpose’ and consider whether appropriate means used to collect information*
  - *Privacy rights to be recognized as quasi-constitutional right*



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Enhanced Compliance Obligations*

### 5. Requirements for Consent

- Prescribed information to be disclosed:
  - purposes; way in which information collected/used/disclosed; reasonably foreseeable consequences; types of PI; third parties
- Business activity exemptions
  - For business activity listed (e.g. provide product requested)
  - Reasonable purpose would expect collection
  - No collected/used for purpose of influencing behavior/decision
- *Privacy Commissioner position:*
  - Exemptions overly broad
  - need requirement that individual understands nature, purpose and consequence of consenting



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Enhanced Compliance Obligations*

### 6. Trans-border data flows

- No specific changes
- *Privacy Commissioner comments:*
  - Need additional provisions to address:
    - » Who is accountable for the personal data that flows across borders and in what circumstances? Need to account for data flow that is not simply transfer to service provider
    - » What conditions must be met before the personal data can flow across borders?
      - May include notice to individuals, level of protection required, or the safeguards and contractual or other measures that must be in place



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Enhanced Compliance Obligations*

### 7. Transparency – must make readily available:

- Description of PI
- How org makes use of PI
- Use of any automated decision system to make predictions, recommendations or decisions
- International or interprovincial transfer/disclosure that may have implications
- How individual can make request for disposal of PI

### 8. Algorithmic transparency

- Must, on request, provide individual with:
  - explanation of the prediction, recommendation or decision and of how PI that was used to make the prediction, recommendation or decision was obtained





# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Enhanced Compliance Obligations*

### 9. Security safeguards

- Physical, organization and technological safeguards
- Level of protection must be proportionate to sensitivity of information
- **New:** must consider quantity, distribution, format and method of storage



Bennett Jones



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Penalties*

- Privacy Commissioner can recommend to Tribunal that penalty be imposed
- Penalties for non-compliance with obligations (\$10M/3% gross global revenue)
  - Limit collection/use/disclosure to recorded purposes
  - Deceptive or misleading practices.
  - Limit retention
  - Dispose of information upon request
  - Safeguard information
  - Report data breach involving real risk of significant harm
- *Privacy Commissioner comments:*
  - List needs to be longer and include failure to obtain valid/meaningful consent
  - He should be able to impose penalties; rather than recommend



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## Penalties for knowing contravention (\$25M/5% gross global revenue)

- Failure to report breach
- Failure to keep record of every breach of security safeguards
- If request regarding automated decision making, retain information so individual can exhaust recourse
- Must not use de-identified information to identify an individual
- Penalty imposed on employee who reports contravention of obligations
- Failure to comply with order by Privacy Commissioner
- Obstruct Commissioner in investigation of complaint [e.g destruction of records]



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

- Commissioner may order organization to:
  - Take measures to comply with the Act
  - Stop doing something that is in contravention of the Act
  - Comply with terms of compliance agreement
  - Make public measures taken to correct policies, practices or procedures of organization
- Commissioner may recommend penalty to tribunal
- *Privacy Commissioner comments:*
  - *Privacy Commissioner should be empowered to impose penalty directly*



# PROPOSED CHANGES TO PRIVACY LEGISLATION (cont'd)

## *Private right of action*

- Individual who is affected by act or omission that constitutes contravention has cause of action against org for damages for loss or injury.
- *Class action risk*
- *Lower burden of proof vs invasion of privacy claim*
  - *Invasion of privacy claim requires evidence of intentional/reckless conduct*
  - *Contravention of statutory obligations – no evidence of intent required*



# KEY ISSUES FOR CORPORATE COUNSEL TO ADDRESS

1. Data mapping
2. Risk & Vulnerability Review
3. Risk Management Framework
4. Policy Development



Bennett Jones



# DATA MAPPING

Personal Information Collected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Volume</li><li>• Source &amp; Means</li><li>• Service Provider</li><li>• Sensitivity</li></ul>
Purpose for Collection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Reasonable Purpose</li><li>• Extent Necessary to Meet Purpose</li></ul>
Use/ Disclosure / Transfer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Required for Product or Service</li><li>• Secondary Use</li><li>• Third Parties</li><li>• Access/Storage/Movement</li></ul>
Consent / Notice / Exception	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Express</li><li>• Deemed (Opt-Out)</li><li>• Implied</li></ul>
Storage / Retention / Disposal	
Safeguards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Risks and vulnerabilities</li><li>• Quantity, Distribution, Format and method of Storage</li></ul>
Service Providers	
Jurisdictions	



# RISK & VULNERABILITY REVIEW

- Assess and document risks and vulnerabilities to your operations
- Examples of risks include:
  - External attacker; third party supplier breach; hostile insider; employee error (e.g. click on malicious link); use/disclosure beyond permitted consent
- Examples of vulnerabilities include:
  - Network
    - How easily can intruder hack into network
    - How easily can you detect presence of intruder
    - Do third party service providers have access to your network
- Operations
  - Lack of employee training
  - Remote access without multifactor authentication
  - No one responsible for patching software
  - Sensitive information can be downloaded to laptops and circulate via email
  - Sensitive documents stored in unlocked filing cabinet
- Develop framework for managing risks





# RISK MANGEMENT FRAMEWORK

- Establish roadmap for managing risks
- Outsourcing
- **Risk:** If third party provider which process personal information we collect is attacked, may have exposure.
- **Risk Management:**
  - Vet provider for security and document that process;
  - Understand how vendor operates (subcontractors? Process/store information in other jurisdictions);
  - Impose contractual terms;
  - Establish audit protocol



# RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK (cont'd)

- Collect highly sensitive information (SIN; Driver's License)
- **Risks:** hostile outsider attack; careless employee; hostile employee
- **Risk Management:**
  - Segregate highly sensitive data
  - Limit access on need to know basis
  - Log access
  - Limit ability to download information
  - If data has to be transferred, it must be encrypted
  - Multi-factor authentication



# RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK (cont'd)

- Ransomware Attack
- **Risk:** employee can download malware by clicking on malicious link
- **Risk Management:**
  - Regularly update system with appropriate security patches
  - Filter web and email content for malicious URLs
  - Use multi-factor authentication on all accounts
  - Implement least privilege
  - Back-up strategy
  - Employee training – regular training and reminders
  - Monitor supply chain security, including software/hardware suppliers
  - Incident response plan – rehearse code-red scenarios



# RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK (cont'd)

- Data Breach
- **Risk:** Collect large amounts of personal information and exposure if you are breached
- **Risk Management:**
  - Map data so you know full extent of all categories of information collected
  - Rank categories of information based on sensitivity
  - Limit how data moves around internally and externally
  - Consider segregating highly sensitive information; limit access
  - Implement data retention policy so that personal information is disposed of when no longer required
  - Multi-factor authentication
  - Strong password policy (regular forced password changes and require complex passwords)
  - Filter web and email content for malicious URLs



# RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK (cont'd)

- Privacy violations
- **Risk:** Collect/use/disclose information without consent; fail to dispose of information when no longer required; fail to implement required safeguards; fail to be transparent re: personal information practices
- **Risk Management:**
  - Data mapping
  - Identify risks and vulnerabilities
  - Develop risk management framework
  - Develop policies



# POLICY DEVELOPMENT

## *Defensive Documentation*

- Data mapping
- Privacy policies (internal/external/employee)
- Incident response plan
- Information technology
- Automated decision making
- Outsourcing
- Security Policy (technological; operational; physical)
- Transparency documentation
- Complaints/Inquiries
- Employee training (security threats; and corporate privacy program)
- Audit Cycle



Bennett Jones

# Q&A

- QUESTIONS?

**THANK YOU!**

Ruth Promislow

416 777 4688

[promislowr@bennettjones.com](mailto:promislowr@bennettjones.com)



Bennett Jones

